

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

LINE TO LOTTON NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ARRODATE POSTERNO	CONTENT OF TON NO	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,628	12/22/2005	Wolfgang Arno Winkler	870-003-200	3737	
83409 Oliver Intellect	7590 03/10/2011 tual Property LLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. Box 1670 Cotuit, MA 02635			KASTURE, DNYANESH G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3746		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/562,628	WINKLER ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
DNYANESH KASTURE	3746					
	10/562,628 Examiner	10/562,628 WINKLER ET AL. Examiner Art Unit				

	DNYANESH KASTURE	3746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 \(\)\[\)\[\]\[\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office are yet evides may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· ·	•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1.5-36 and 38-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:							
	/William H. Rodríguez/ Primary Examiner Art II	nit 3741					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation "non-destructive" in independent claim 1 requires further consideration and or search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. In the Ono et al reference, the movement direction of the latching member (during insertion of the shalt) has a radial component, therefore it reads on a resilient radially movable latching member as claimed.